

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCI United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/657,995	09/08/2003	Sung Yong Park	CU-3349 WWP	2275
26530	7590 07/06/2004		EXAM	INER
LADAS & PARRY 224 SOUTH MICHIGAN AVENUE, SUITE 1200 CHICAGO, IL 60604			PATEL, MITAL B	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, II	_ 00004		3743	

DATE MAILED: 07/06/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/657,995	PARK, SUNG YONG			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Mital B. Patel	3743			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the me earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r . reply within the statutory minimum of thir riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON	eply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{0}$	8 September 2003.				
	This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C.E), 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 September 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been received. ments have been received in priority documents have bee ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	 □	Our (PTO 412)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date	8) Paper No	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			

Application/Control Number: 10/657,995

Art Unit: 3743

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-3, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yamazaki et al (US 5,941,244).
- 4. As to claim 1, Yamazaki et al teaches a face mask 1 which comprises a pad 2 covering a wearer's nose and mouth (See Fig. 3), and a pair of loops 4 attached to both sides of the pad so as to hang them on both ears (See Col. 2, lines 63-65), respectively, the pad being composed of an inner layer 5, an outer layer 7 combined with the inner layer 5 and a health-promoting resin 6 (See Col. 3, lines 24-34; please note on page 1, lines 16-19 of the specification, Applicant states that the health-promoting resin allows the face-mask to exhibit effects including deodorant properties which is taught by Yamazaki) formed in a predetermined size and interposed between the inner and outer layers (See Figs. 2-3).

Application/Control Number: 10/657,995

Art Unit: 3743

- 5. As to claim 2, Yamazaki et al teaches a face mask 1 comprising a healthpromoting resin 6 wherein the inner layer 5 has a through hole 5a formed at a
 predetermined portion of the inner layer 5, and the through hole 5a has a size sufficient
 to come into contact with wearer's nose and mouth (See Fig. 3; please note the
 through hole of Yamazaki is of sufficient size to come into contact with the
 wearer's nose and mouth as much as Applicant's through hole is depicted to
 come into contact with the wearer's nose and mouth in Fig. 4, furthermore, the
 through hole of Yamazaki does come into contact with the nose and mouth be it
 directly or indirectly).
- 6. As to claim 3, Yamazaki et al teaches a face mask 1 comprising a health-promoting resin 6, wherein the inner layer of the pad is covered with a net 3 (See Col. 3, lines 39-48; See also Fig. 4, which shows element 3 to have numerous holes; therefore, along with the materials listed in Col. 3, lines 39-48 from which element 3 is made of along with element 3 having vent holes, the Examiner construes element 3 to be a net).
- 7. As to claim 6, Yamazaki et al teaches a face mask 1 comprising a health-promoting resin 6, wherein the health-promoting resin is a resin made of hard charcoal (See Col. 3, line 29 which teaches activated charcoal; please note the Examiner considers activated charcoal as taught by Yamazaki et al to be equivalent to the hard charcoal taught by Applicant since activated charcoal is well known to absorb odors therefore exhibiting deodorant properties, which Applicant on page 9, lines 16-17 of the specification discloses as a property of hard charcoal).

Application/Control Number: 10/657,995 Page 4

Art Unit: 3743

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 9. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 10. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamazaki et al (US 5,941,244) in view of Choi et al (WO 00/40104).
- 11. **As to claim 4**, Yamazaki et al teaches essentially all of the limitations except for wherein the health-promoting resin is a resin made of loess. However, Choi et al does teach the use of loess as a health-promoting resin which serves as an anti-toxin and serves to adsorb harmful and poisonous chemicals (**See page 2 of the Choi et al reference**). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use loess as a health-promoting resin as taught by Choi et al in the face mask of Yamazaki et al so that harmful and poisonous chemicals may be adsorbed by the loess. It should also be noted that Yamazaki et al teaches that depending on the specific function other components may be impregnated in the

Application/Control Number: 10/657,995 Page 5

Art Unit: 3743

element 6 (See Col. 4, lines 52-58), therefore, providing additional motivation to use loess as a health-promoting resin based on its specific function to serve as an anti-toxin and to adsorb harmful chemicals.

- 12. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamazaki et al (US 5,941,244) in view of Klein (4,643,182).
- 13. **As to claim 5**, Yamazaki et al teaches essentially all of the limitations except for wherein the health-promoting resin is a resin made of anion-emitting materials. However, Klein does teach the use of anion-emitting materials as a health-promoting resin which serve to remove microorganisms of an injurious character from the air (**See Col. 6**, **lines 39-44 of the Klein reference**). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use anion-emitting materials as a health-promoting resin as taught by Klein in the face mask of Yamazaki et al so microorganisms of an injurious character are removed from the air. It should also be noted that Yamazaki et al teaches that depending on the specific function other components may be impregnated in the element **6** (**See Col. 4**, **lines 52-58**), therefore, providing additional motivation to use anion-emitting materials as a health-promoting resin based on its specific function to remove microorganisms of an injurious nature from the air.

Conclusion

14. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US 6584976, US 6543450, US 6460539, US 6070578, US

Application/Control Number: 10/657,995

Art Unit: 3743

5706804, US 5078132, US 4850347, US 4790307, US 4641645, US 4628927, US 4619948, US 4503851, US 4454881, US 2284949, US 1925764, US 1359078, US 1292096, and WO 88/03036.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mital B. Patel whose telephone number is 703-306-5444. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:00 - 4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry Bennett can be reached on 703-308-0101. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mital B. Patel Examiner Art Unit 3743